

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,022	01/29/2004	Yoji Nakatani	501.43385X00	2664	
24956	24956 7590 08/03/2005			EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			TRUONG	TRUONG, BAO Q	
SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	的						
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Bao Q. Truong   2187		10/766,022	NAKATANI ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estationals of item myle sevaluated the previous of 3° CFR 1.13(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Estationals of item myle sevaluated the previous of 3° CFR 1.13(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the priod for reply specified above its less than althor (20) alsys, a reply with the statutory minimum of thinty (30) slops will be considered films).  If the priod for reply specified down, the maximum statutory period will explain SN (MONTHS from the maling date of this communication of the priod for reply in specified work, the maximum statutory period will explain SN (MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  Any reply rescribed by the Office later than three months after the maling date of this communication.  Any reply rescribed by the Office later than three months after the maling date of this communication.  The specification is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3c) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3c) This action is final.  2c) This action is non-final.  3c) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.15 (sare pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1.15 (sare pending in the application of a claim for foreign the promity of the promity d	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  after SX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of the communication.  The period for reply specified done, the anatomic statutory pariod will expire SX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of the communication.  The period for reply specified done, the anatomic statutory pariod will expire statutory interior the period of the communication. The period for reply specified done, the product of the communication is the period for reply specified done, the anatomic statutory pariod will expire SX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. The period for reply specified done, the period of the communication is the specified for reply specified done, the period of the communication of t							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of them may be verified under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It NO perified for reply is specified when the mailing date of this communication.  It NO perified for reply is specified above, the maximum studency perieval begin within the studency provision of the reply in the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended pands for reply will, by studency assume the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 130). Any reply received by the Official with them them them filed part than the set mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exempt plant time edjustment. Set 97 CFR 1.794(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is ron-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-2 and 12-15 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-2 and 12-15 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-3 and 12-15 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-4 and 12-15 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-5 and 12-15 is/are objected to.  Replication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Object the drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2004. 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on yanuary 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be toon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) deperiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) sand 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-942) □ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 7 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04 & 1/24/05.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>24 January 2004</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
Application of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1.6 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) ○ Claim(s) 2-5.7-10 and 12-15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ○ All □ b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ○ Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ○ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  5) ○ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ○ Other:	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29	Disposition of Claims						
5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The drawing(s) filed on 29_ January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b orme * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Paper No(s)Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  5) Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.6 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5.7-10 and 12-15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5,7-10 and 12-15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29. January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) ☐ Other:	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04 & 1/24/05.  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04 & 1/24/05.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on 29 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.	·						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-948)  3)☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04 & 1/24/05.  5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Figure and Tredomist Cilice	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ☐ Other:	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Pafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/04 & 1/24/05.  Septem and Trademark Office	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04 & 1/24/05.  Separent Not Stage and Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04 & 1/24/05.  Separent Not Stage and Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04 & 1/24/05.	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 January 2004</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Trademark Office  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.	11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  5. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  6) ☐ Other:	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  S. Patent and Trademark Office							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  S. Palent and Trademark Office							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	•						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  S. Patent and Trademark Office  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	, , , ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/29/04 & 1/24/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:  S. Patent and Trademark Office	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/29/04 &amp; 1/24/05</u> . 6) Other:  S. Patent and Trademark Office	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date				
TOL 000 (D. 4.6.4)			r atent Application (PTO-192)				
	J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ice Action Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050729				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,022 Page 2

Art Unit: 2187

1. The instant application having Application No. 10/766,022 has a total of 15 claims pending in the application; there are 3 independent claims and 14 dependent claims, all of which are ready for examination by the examiner.

#### Oath/Declaration

2. The applicant's oath/declaration has been reviewed by the examiner and is found to conform to the requirements prescribed in 37 C.F.R. § 1.63.

### Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 24 October 2003. It is noted that applicant has filed a certified copy of the JP 2003-364793 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

#### Information Disclosure Statement

4. As required by M.P.E.P § 609 (C), the applicant's submission of the Information Disclosure Statements, dated on 29 January 2004 and 24 January 2005, is acknowledged by the examiner; and the cited reference has been considered in the examination of the claims now pending. As required by M.P.E.P § 609 C (2), a copy of the PTO-1449 initialed and dated by the examiner is attached to the instant office action.

#### **Drawings**

5. The applicant's drawings submitted are acceptable for examination purposes.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,022 Page 3

Art Unit: 2187

# Specification

6. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not within the range of 50 to 150 words (it contains 158 words). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,022 Page 4

Art Unit: 2187

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito et al. (Pub. No. US 2002/0016792 A1).

Referring to claim 1, Ito discloses a storage system (see figures 1, 9, 14, 15: combination of elements 200 and 10) comprising:

at least one disk to store data (see figures 1, 9, 14, 15: element 10 and paragraph [0039]); a disk control unit to control writing and reading of data to and from said at least one disk (see figures 1, 9, 14, 15: element 11 and paragraph [0039]);

a disk cache for transmitting and receiving data to and from said at least one disk (see figures 9 and 14: element 13 and paragraph [0078]);

a file server (see figure 1: element 200) including a CPU (see figure 1: element 210), a main memory to store programs and data for said CPU (see figure 1: element 220 and paragraph [0040]), and a network interface to be coupled to clients through a network as inherently existing in a network file-system (see figure 15: element 1); and

interfaces for sending and receiving data to and from other storage systems through a communication link (see figure 14: elements 299, 399 and paragraph [0136]);

wherein said main memory includes a file system-processing unit managing storage areas of said at least one disk so that files are correlated with data locations on said at least one disk as a file server unit in the memory (see figure 1: element 240; paragraph [0040]; and paragraph [0012]: lines 11-22), and a file-system cache to be used by said file system-processing unit (see figure 1: combination of elements 270-280 and paragraphs [0047-0048]);

wherein said disk control unit receives data of a file that has been updated in another storage system and a history of file-management information through said communication link and stores the received data of a file and the history of file-management information on the disk (see figure 1 and paragraph [0075]: lines 6-27);

wherein said disk control unit refers to the history of the file-management information on the disk and updates file-management information in said file-system cache in accordance with the update of the file performed in said other storage system (see figure 1 and paragraph [0075]: lines 27-34); and

wherein, when said disk control unit receives a read request from a client coupled to the storage system, said disk control unit refers to the file-management information updated in said file-system cache and transfers the contents of the updated file to said client according to the file-management information (see paragraph [0012]: lines 11-15 and paragraph [0063-0064]).

Referring to claim 6, Ito teaches a file-reference method of a storage system, wherein said storage system comprises:

at least one disk (see figures 1, 9, 14, 15: element 10 and paragraph [0039]);

Application/Control Number: 10/766,022

Art Unit: 2187

Page 6

a disk control unit to control writing and reading of data to and from said at least one disk (see figures 1, 9, 14, 15: element 11 and paragraph [0039]);

a disk cache for transmitting and receiving data to and from said at least one disk (see figures 9 and 14: element 13 and paragraph [0078]);

a CPU (see figure 1: element 210);

a main memory to store programs and data for said CPU (see figure 1: element 220 and paragraph [0040]);

a network interface to be coupled to clients through a network as inherently existing in a network file-system (see figure 15: element 1); and

interfaces for sending and receiving data to and from other storage systems through a communication link (see figure 14: elements 299, 399 and paragraph [0136]);

wherein said main memory includes a file system-processing unit managing storage areas of said at least one disk so that files are correlated with data locations on said at least one disk as a file server unit in the memory (see figure 1: element 240; paragraph [0040]; and paragraph [0012]: lines 11-22), and a file-system cache to be used by said file system-processing unit (see figure 1: combination of elements 270-280 and paragraphs [0047-0048]);

said file-reference method comprising:

(a) a storing step in which said disk-control unit receives contents of a file that has been updated in another storage system and a history of file-management information through said communication link from said other storage system and stores the contents of a file and the history of file-management information on a disk (see figure 1 and paragraph [0075]: lines 6-27);

Art Unit: 2187.

- (b) a monitoring step in which said file system-processing unit refers to the history of the file-management information stored in said disk and (c) an updating step in which, based on a reference to the history of the file-management information, said file system-processing unit updates the file-management information in said file-system cache in accordance with the update of the file in said other storage system (see figure 1 and paragraph [0075]: lines 27-34); and
- (d) a transfer step in which, when said disk-control unit receives a read request from a client coupled-to the storage system, the storage system refers to the file-management information updated in said file-system cache and reads, from the disk, the contents of the updated file and transfers the contents to said client (see paragraph [0012]: lines 11-15 and paragraph [0063-0064]).

Referring to claim 11, Ito discloses a network system comprising a first storage system (see figure 15: elements 200 and 10) and a second storage system (see figure 15: elements 100 and 30; elements 300 and 20) each of which comprises:

a disk (see figures 1, 9, 14, 15: element 10 and paragraph [0039]), a disk-control unit to control writing and reading of data to and from said disk (see figures 1, 9, 14, 15: element 11 and paragraph [0039]), and a disk cache for transmitting and receiving data to and from said disk (see figures 9 and 14: element 13 and paragraph [0078]);

a file server (see figure 1: element 200) including a CPU (see figure 1: element 210), a main memory to store programs and data for said CPU (see figure 1: element 220 and paragraph [0040]), and a network interface to be coupled to clients through a network as inherently existing in a network file-system (see figure 15: element 1); and

interfaces for sending and receiving data to and from other storage systems through a communication link (see figure 14: elements 299, 399 and paragraph [0136]);

wherein said main memory includes a file system-processing unit managing storage areas of said at least one disk so that files are correlated with data locations on said at least one disk as a file server unit in the memory (see figure 1: element 240; paragraph [0040]; and paragraph [0012]: lines 11-22), and a file-system cache to be used by said file system-processing unit (see figure 1: combination of elements 270-280 and paragraphs [0047-0048]);

wherein the disk-control unit of said first storage system receives contents of a file updated in the second storage system and a history of file-management information from the second storage system through said communication link and stores the contents of a file and the history of file-management information on the disk in said first storage system (see figure 1 and paragraph [0075]: lines 6-27);

wherein the file system-processing unit of said first storage system refers to the history of the file-management information on the disk and updates the file-management information in the file- system cache of said first storage system in accordance with the update of the file in said second storage system (see figure 1 and paragraph [0075]: lines 27-34); and

wherein, when said first storage system receives a read request from a client, said first storage system refers to the file-management information updated in said file-system cache reads, from the disk, the contents of the update file received from said second storage system, and transfers the contents to said client (see paragraph [0012]: lines 11-15 and paragraph [0063-0064]).

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 2-5, 7-10, and 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 2 is allowable for the combination including the limitation of:

"wherein said file system-processing unit comprises a metadata-update monitor monitoring the update of metadata, including data for file management, by referring to a journal log, including a history of said management information, and a metadata-updating unit updating the metadata in said file-system cache when said metadata-update monitor detects the update of a file."

Claims 3-5 are allowable for at least the reason indicated with respect to claim 2 above.

Claim 7 is allowable for the combination including the limitation of:

"wherein said monitoring step includes a metadata-update monitoring step of monitoring the update of metadata, including data for file management, by referring to a journal log, including a history of said management information, and

wherein said updating step includes a metadata-updating step of detecting the update of the file by said metadata-update monitoring step and updating the metadata in said file-system cache."

Claims 8-10 are allowable for at least the reason indicated with respect to claim 7 above.

Art Unit: 2187

Claim 12 is allowable for the combination including the limitation of:

"wherein the file system-processing unit of said first storage system comprises:

a metadata-update monitor monitoring the update of metadata, including data for file management, by referring to a journal log, including a history of said management information; and

a metadata-updating unit updating the metadata in said file-system cache when said metadata-update monitor detects the update of the file."

Claims 13-15 are allowable for at least the reason indicated with respect to claim 12 above.

Art Unit: 2187

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See Form PTO-892.

Kitamura et al. (US 2003/0163553 A1) discusses a network storage system including a local storage and a remote storage, wherein each of the storages including a file server and a storage device.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Q Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:00 PM (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald A Sparks, can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

BTO GILL THOUG

BT

Patent Examiner

29 July 2005

Donald Spark

**Supervisory Patent Examiner** 

Technology Center 2100